

## **SCORING RUBRIC**

Rating: Score each section below with a 1,2,3,4 or 5. Total the scores and report on the tally sheet provided.

Donations

Total Score:

Provided a report from last year's grant award

/30 points

1	<u>Unacceptable response</u> : none provided, demonstrating a significant misunderstanding of the question, not meeting the criteria even to
	minimum extent
2	<u>Weak response</u> : meeting certain aspects to a minimum extent but fails in others, little evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria
3	Fair response: meeting the majority but not all aspects of the criteria, adequate evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed
3	criteria
4	Good response: meeting all aspects of the criteria, comprehensive, clear proposal demonstrating a good understanding of the criteria,
	clear evidence of ability to meet or deliver to the proposed criteria
5	Response which exceeds criteria: Materially exceeding the criteria, through a creative or innovative response or where additional 'add value' areas have been identified, clear evidence of ability to exceed the proposed criteria
Project B	enefits:
<u>1 10j00t D</u>	Quality of the proposed project,
	Clearly articulated benefits and defined beneficiaries
•	·
•	Importance and relevance of the issue and the strength of the idea (the number and range of beneficiary)
•	The degree of benefit
•	The vulnerability of the target group
•	Range of outcomes or outputs
Financial	Need: Evidence the project has been devised on evidence of a clear and quantified need or demand
	ment of Success: Appropriate evaluation mechanism
•	Does the project seek a range of benefits?
•	Description of how to measure success.
•	Impact
Custaina	ble Daneffer, Evidence of heavithe learning or heneffer will be queteined arrows haveficing
	ble Benefits: Evidence of how the learning or benefits will be sustained among beneficiaries
•	Whether future funding consequences have been considered
•	Is there an exit strategy, or a plan for future funding of the project after the grant has ended?
Dan dunk (	
Product (	
•	Considering not only the costs of the project
•	Are they reasonable?
•	Are management costs or overheads high
•	What other funding has been sourced, if any.
Francisco	Dulaniki a a .
	Priorities:
•	Clear evidence of how the project will meet at least one of the funding priorities, to:
	Offer a hands-on approach to students
	o Improve the educational experience
	Help transform the curriculum
Support	given to the foundation, Bonus: (Sarah will add a point if completed. JUDGES DO NOT SCORE THIS SECTION)
<u>oupport</u>	Participation in scholar dollars program: display or food concessions
•	Thank you notes
	·
•	Solicitation of sponsors/recommended sponsorships
•	Attendance to Golf or Paint ever